

Appl. No. 10/699,196
Amdt. Dated 12/22/2005
Reply to Office Action of 9/22/2005

Remarks/Arguments

Applicant would like to thank the examiner for the thorough review of the present application. Applicant respectfully requests the examiner to reconsider Applicant's assertion in the previously filed amendment dated June 28, 2005, which states, inter alia, Klimpert would be rendered inoperable for its intended purpose if Spector's and Breslow's teachings were combined therewith. Klimpert's intended function is to allow a user to apply "glue" directly onto an area portraying a preprinted image, wherein flocking is then applied to the glue (see col. 2, lines 25-35). It is well known to a person of ordinary skill in the art that if glue and flocking material, as taught by Klimpert, were added to a scented and corrugated surface, as taught by Spector and Breslow, a user would not be able to rub the puzzle pieces and expose a scent. Having to materially redesign Klimpert's preprinted image to receive a scented and corrugated surface would be counterintuitive and expensive. Therefore, a person of ordinary skill in the art would not look to Klimpert to combine Breslow's and Spector's scented and corrugated surfaces, respectively, to teach Applicant's claimed invention. This is evident because educational games often target one stimulus and associated sensor of a child playing the game, so that one child's specific sensory responses can be more effectively improved.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,
Law Office of Ashkan Najafi, P.A.

By



Ashkan Najafi, Esq.
Reg. No. 49,078
Customer No. 34,356

6817 Southpoint Parkway
Suite 2301
Jacksonville, FL 32216
Telephone: 904-296-0055
Facsimile: 904-296-0056